Application No.: 10/599689 Amendment Dated: April 5, 2010 Reply to Office action of: March 4, 2010

REMARKS

In the Office action, the Examiner has determined that claims directed toward

two species of the generic invention are presented in the present application, and

has required applicant to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits and to

which the claims will be restricted if no generic claim is held allowable.

The species defined by the Examiner are:

Species A – Claims 2 and 5

Species B - Claims 3 and 6

The Examiner considers claims 1 and 4 to be generic.

In response to the restriction/election requirement, applicant hereby elects,

without traverse, Species A and claims 1-2 and 4-5 that read thereupon.

If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the

Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to

expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please

charge same to our Deposit Account No. 18-0160, our Order No. KOY-16877.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

By /James A. Balazs/

James A. Balazs, Reg. No. 47401

38210 Glenn Avenue Willoughby, Ohio 44094-7808

(216) 566-9700

Page 5 of 5